

# The Lower Damodar River, India

# **Advances in Asian Human-Environmental Research**

## **Series Editor**

Prof. Marcus Nüsser  
South Asia Institute, University of Heidelberg, Germany

## **Editorial Board**

Prof. Eckart Ehlers, University of Bonn, Germany  
Prof. Harjit Singh, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India  
Prof. Hermann Kreutzmann, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany  
Prof. Ken Hewitt, Waterloo University, Canada  
Prof. Urs Wiesmann, University of Bern, Switzerland  
Prof. Sarah J. Halvorson, University of Montana, USA  
Dr. Daanish Mustafa, King's College London, UK

## ***Aims and Scope***

The series aims at fostering the discussion on the complex relationships between physical landscapes, natural resources, and their modification by human land use in various environments of Asia. It is widely acknowledged that human-environment-interactions become increasingly important in area studies and development research, taking into account regional differences as well as bio-physical, socio-economic and cultural particularities.

The book series seeks to explore theoretic and conceptual reflection on dynamic human-environment systems applying advanced methodology and innovative research perspectives. The main themes of the series cover urban and rural landscapes in Asia. Examples include topics such as land and forest degradation, glaciers in Asia, mountain environments, dams in Asia, medical geography, vulnerability and mitigation strategies, natural hazards and risk management concepts, environmental change, impacts studies and consequences for local communities. The relevant themes of the series are mainly focused on geographical research perspectives of area studies, however there is scope for interdisciplinary contributions.

For further volumes:  
<http://www.springer.com/series/8560>

# The Lower Damodar River, India

Understanding the Human Role in Changing  
Fluvial Environment

by

Kumkum Bhattacharyya

*University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA*

 Springer

Dr. Kumkum Bhattacharyya  
School of Natural Resources  
and Environment  
University of Michigan  
440 Church Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1041  
USA  
kumkumb@umich.edu  
kumkumbhattacharyya@gmail.com

ISSN 1879-7180

e-ISSN 1879-7199

ISBN 978-94-007-0466-4

e-ISBN 978-94-007-0467-1

DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-0467-1

Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011922057

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

*This book is dedicated to the memory of my  
late Parents  
Shri Mohini Mohan Bhattacharyya  
Smt Jyotirmoyee Bhattacharyya*



# Foreword 1

This is a time when the global impacts of human society are pushing governments around the world to actively search for new strategies to protect their social and economic assets from the threat of rapid climate change and its ecological and geomorphic consequences. Nowhere is this more apparent than in South and East Asia. China has recently engaged in the largest river impoundment project in this planet's history. In 2007 the Supreme Court of India orders the national government to proceed with planning for an engineering feat of unprecedented scope and scale: the hydrologic interlinking of all of the subcontinents major river basins for the purpose of equitable distribution and use of monsoon rains. In 2009 South Korea began its Four Major Rivers Restoration project. Already well underway, the government is committed to spending over \$14 billion dollars in a little as 3 years to massively alter channel storage and conveyance capacities; ostensibly in response to anticipated water shortages to come. Rivers and coastlines, because they are the most obvious interfacing between the hydrosphere and our continental homes, are the front lines along which much of drama of human adaptation to climate change will play out.

It is appropriate then, that we find ways now to step back, and carefully review our experiences and history with regard to that age-old dance between humans and rivers, a relationship that spawned the earliest civilizations of man. A relationship where man often masters river, but not infrequently river masters man. K. Bhattacharyya's study of the Damodar River provides us with just this opportunity. Set in a lower tributary of the mighty Ganga (Ganges) this is a story of human ecology, river geomorphology, and hydrologic engineering. Adaptation by both the riparian communities and the river itself to a trajectory of mutually induced change make it also a fascinating ecological study in the truest sense of the word. In this drama of riparian ecology man is not a bystander nor a reference point for determining "ecosystem values", but an actor and participant. The authors attention to fine detail in the data, and also to the history of geographic and geomorphic concepts required to provide perspective make this a scholarly work of great value. Her personal connections with the riparian community, and with the academic and engineering community as well provide valuable insight into the human aspects of this story; this contributes to a certain compelling sense of drama that emerges from

this study. I understand entirely M.G. Wolman's and others push to have Kumkum publish her work in book form. It is a recounting of a particular history, relevant to our current and future negotiation with nature, which is both compelling and informative.

Roosevelt Professor of Ecosystem Management  
School of Natural Resources and Environment  
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor  
March 20, 2010

M.J. Wiley



## Foreword 2

Industrialization, urbanization, economic development, and rapid population growth have necessitated deliberate human interference with most rivers from all the major basins in the world in order to exploit their water and control their courses. The Damodar River is no exception to this. Although such interference in this relatively small river valley started more than three centuries ago, it intensified after the independence of India from Britain in 1947. Since then, the water of the Damodar River has been used to irrigate farm lands during the dry season, for hydro-power development, as well as for navigation, fisheries, and industrial development. Many flood control structures have also been put in place to reduce the impacts of destructive floods. These and other changes made to control river flow and to use its water have benefited residents of this river valley, but not without social and environmental cost. Valley residents have struggled to adapt to these changes and maximize their limited resources while the river ecology has suffered over the decades.

I was born and brought up in Bangladesh, a country criss-crossed by rivers, most of which are either tributaries or distributaries to three great rivers of the world: the Ganga, the Brahmaputra, and the Meghna (GBM). These three rivers form the GBM basin, one of the largest trans-boundary river basins in the world. With a total catchment area of the 1.74 million km<sup>2</sup>, this densely populated basin contains one of the richest agricultural areas in the world and its rivers are intricately linked with the very survival of millions of people. Rivers supply much needed irrigation water for crop cultivation and serve as arteries of commercial transportation. Since the GBM basin receives heavy annual rainfall and approximately 85% of that rain occurs in the summer, rivers of this basin, carry a large discharge and a heavy sediment load. This causes these rivers to be extremely unstable, with channels that are literally, constantly migrating. As a result, the GBM basin experiences annual flooding, which adds fresh silt to crop fields making the land very fertile. While most floods rejuvenate land and lives, some bring misery and death.

The Damodar River is contained within this basin. All school-going children in West Bengal and Bangladesh have heard stories about the mighty Damodar and how Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar – a great scholar, philosopher, educator, and reformer of Bengal during the colonial period – swam across this river in a storm in order to obey a summons from his mother. Later, as a graduate student of geography,

I learned about the pioneering endeavor of the Indian government, the Damodar Valley Corporation (DVC). While my interest in the Damodar River is personal, what has happened and what is happening now in terms of exploiting the water resource of the Damodar is no different from any other river in the GBM basin, or for that matter, any other populated major basin of the world.

Therefore, irrespective of geographic area, this book will be of tremendous value to scholars, researchers, teachers, students, and others interested in how public policies change river morphology and how such change affects both the physical and human environment.

This excellent book is based on Dr. Bhattacharyya's Ph.D. dissertation, which she completed from The University of Burdwan under the expert supervision of Manjusri Basu, Reader in Geography of the same University. Her Ph.D. committee members included prominent and famous personalities like M. Gordon (Reds) Wolman, B. Howard Griswold Professor of Geography and International Affairs, Johns Hopkins University, USA and Monotosh Bandyopadhyay, Professor of Geography, University of Calcutta. Since she completed her dissertation, Dr. Bhattacharyya updated all information. She spent a tremendous amount of time collecting relevant information through intensive field work and in doing so she followed rigorous scientific procedures. Other sources have been utilized to gather pertinent information. Her depth and knowledge about the subject matter of this book are reflected in her holistic and thorough interpretation of the field data. It presents well-documented research findings in an engaging style. Dr. Kumkum Bhattacharyya is new to academia in North America, but she has a very high potential to become one of the top in her field of research in the near future.

In writing this book, Dr. Bhattacharyya uses a broad perspective, which makes this book interesting not only to physical and human geographers, but also to scholars from other related disciplines interested in the environmental dynamics of rivers in general and the Damodar River in particular. I am honored to write a foreword for this insightful, valuable, and useful book which will advance our knowledge and understanding of how humans have interacted and interfered with rivers to their benefit. I congratulate Dr. Kumkum Bhattacharyya for presenting us with this outstanding book, and I invite all of you to read it.

Professor, Department of Geography  
Director, South Asia Center  
Kansas State University  
Manhattan, KS 66506  
USA

Bimal Kanti Paul

## Foreword 3

Kumkum Bhattacharya's Book has a novel approach. Ecological considerations have been emphasized starting from the completion of the Damodar River Valley Project and also during his partial completion. Emphasis by the scholars was given to study the economic consequences with significant reference to displacement of famers caused by the constructions of canals and reservoirs. Geographers then contributed to the research of socio-economic advantages of the Damodar Valley research. Two Geography departments belonging to the states of West Bengal and Bihar – Calcutta University and Patna University – contributed to a specialized research funded by the government studying the socio-economic effects of the Damodar River Valley (DVC) project. Professor Kanangopal Bagchi who headed the Calcutta University, Department of Geography, based research team concluded in one of his papers:

The DVC developments will improve the economic situation by creating infrastructures; in fact, rural electrification, construction of hard surfaced roads, and sinking of tube-wells, have already started although the pace has not been up to expectations so far. Even within this limited growth, villages and urban centers are progressing, striving to promote measures which fight drought, which is more or less endemic within the upper catchment, and floods, which are chronic in the delta. (K. Bagchi "The Damodar Valley Development and Its Impact on the Region", In Allen G. Noble and Ashok K. Dutt Editors: *Indian Urbanization and Planning: Vehicle of Modernization*, 1977, New Delhi, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited: pp. 232–241).

Bhattacharya book considers ecological and human repercussions of the project. It aptly recommends "better human and environment interactions". The construction of dams and reservoirs changes the natural flow of the rivers with ecological consequences. This book suggests a change of strategy to suit the interest of the people. The book also recognizes that in Bihar the project generates electricity that supplies vast area helping industrialization, city lighting and pump irrigation while in West Bengal (Lower Damodar Region), the effect of the project is primarily to control in floods and provide irrigation through canals. The ecological alterations are felt more in the lower Damodar region because here floods have been controlled and river water has been redirected-both changing the local ecology. One additional aspect needs to be considered, the aspect of climate change which is likely to cause

excessive rainfall or drought occasionally. One encouraging aspect of such change is that the Damodar Valley area is not affected by excessive floods caused by melting of Himalayan and Tibetan snow.

The book is based on extensive research and field work, it is written well. It is based on conceptualization of different ideas and has strong theoretical basis; making a sound scholarly contribution. It is highly recommended for libraries all over the world. It also makes an excellent reading for those interested in developing country river geography and consequences of dam and reservoir construction.

Professor, Department of Geography and Planning  
The University of Akron  
Akron, OH 44325-5005

Ashok K. Dutt

# Preface

Since ancient times, river floods and control structures have been the basis for riverine civilizations of riparian landscapes. One can argue that civilization as we know it would not have arisen without river control. Even now, dams continue to act as bulwarks against catastrophic flood destruction all over the world. River water utilization and river control structures have formed the mainstay of planning policy in decolonized developing countries in the tropics in their quest for self-reliance since the early nineteen forties. Despite these enormous benefits, however, river control has sometimes had disastrous social and environmental consequences. Not surprisingly, the large-scale ecological damage and human suffering associated with river control has been particularly significant in the developing countries where dams have provided maximum benefit.

I was born and brought up in the Ganga Valley of Lower Bengal. The River Ganga was a constant companion in my childhood. When I grew up, the river became a source of inspiration for me. This proximal relation with the river was the true initiator for the creation of this book. I started dreaming of working with the river. I decided to pursue an academic career focusing my interest on understanding the riverine regime and its paradoxes. As a graduate student of Geography, I had been privileged to visit and study the Ganga (Hooghly-Bhagirathi), Damodar, Ajay, Kaliaghai, Kasai and Kangsabati Rivers. With a keen interest I observed everywhere how indigenous technological innovations helped better water resource management and how flooding was accepted by the riparian communities as a positive factor. The disadvantage of floods in one season was converted into an advantage in another.

I was inspired by Manjusri Basu, Reader in Geography of The University of Burdwan. She was instrumental in sparking my interest in the way people interacted with their fluvial environment and responded as a community at both micro and macro levels. This subject haunted me for years and drove me to many places in search of answers. By the beginning of 1990, I was granted a University scholarship and approved for the doctoral program at the same university under the supervision of M. Basu. I selected the Lower Damodar River, a subsystem of the Ganga River as my area of focus. One reason for this choice was the fact that floods have concerned humanity from the dawn of civilization to the present era and this part of the Damodar is notorious for causing flood havoc despite control measures. Also,

the Lower Damodar has a flood history dating back to 1665 and is one of the innumerable South Bengal Rivers chained by embankments, the first control measures, predating the British Period. Besides, the Damodar was the first river selected in independent India for a multipurpose river valley development project known as the Damodar Valley Corporation (DVC), a body modeled on the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) of the United States. Beginning with the construction of embankments in the eighteenth century and subsequent addition of weirs, barrage and dams, the Lower Damodar River has been transformed into a reserved controlled channel with significant post-dam reduction in regular flow and in monsoon discharge but with the increase of non-monsoon flow with very high variability. The alluvial bars locally known as *Char* or *Mana* that have emerged on the riverbed due to decreased flow are now used as a resource base mostly by Bangladeshi refugees who have matched land use at fine scales to flood experiences applying a concept of flood zoning to the riverbed and effectively assessing short-term risks and long-term benefits. According to M. Basu, "Colonization on the riverbed with semi-permanent alluvial sandbars was actually due to the chance discovery of the fertility of the water-bound landmass". We were fascinated by the complex, sophisticated interrelationships between the riverbed settlers and the natural environment in the presence of floods and dams. As a field researcher, I lived on the sandbars of the Damodar River in order to observe and interview people living in that flood-prone environment. During that time I also collected information on floods, water resources, human perception, adaptation, flood plain zoning, and other topics.

I have since had the opportunity to present the results of my research at different national and international seminars in India and abroad. I also had the opportunity to present papers on the impacts of dams and human perception and adjustment in riverbed sand bars at the Conferences of *International Association of Geomorphologists* held in Singapore in 1995 and in Bologna of Italy in 1997. My presentation in Singapore concluded with a question: should we save our rivers or save the communities? "This is very interesting and fascinating work" – this was the immediate response of M. Gordon (Reds) Wolman one of the most gentle and amiable personalities I have ever encountered. He was genuinely excited and curious about my research. Since 1995 I have been nurtured by his vast encyclopedia of ideas. While writing this preface, I came to know that Prof. Reds Wolman is no more. I have lost a true mentor who was always generous with his time and provided continuous guidance for this book which is based on my PhD dissertation that he examined.

The University of California, Berkeley awarded me a post-doctoral research fellowship in 2002 along with the opportunity to work on the impacts of dams and reservoir sedimentation under the supervision of Matt Kondolf. I had numerous opportunities to visit and study the rivers and reservoirs in California on weekend field trips to further develop my research on the Damodar River where significant silting in the Maithon and Panchet reservoirs and the resulting decrease in flow in the main channel has led to the creation of a series of settled sandbars. This has resulted further in deforestation and anthropogenic degradation of the river itself. Refugees have inhabited the sandbars despite adverse environmental conditions.

In defiance of economic, social and political limitations, they have persevered in adjusting to the inhospitable surroundings. This hydraulic society poses a challenge to technology as well as to the government in the quest to confront and control flood hazard.

Human impact on the riverine system and the socioeconomic environment has become a matter of great concern in the contemporary world. Geographers, ecologists, planners, engineers and scientists all over the world are paying close attention to the relationship between humans and the environment. M. Gordon (Reds) Wolman, commenting on riverbed settlers in the Damodar River, wrote (personal communication dated April 10, 1996) “is there a set of design flow releases that will at least reduce frequent flooding? Of course, the rare largest floods may be the ones causing the most severe damage to people and property. That may require preventing settlement on the island, a difficult thing to do”. We would hesitate to ask river communities to abandon sandbars that have been under cultivation for decades but we would like to propose that agriculture or human interferences be abandoned with the active channel. Our communities must be saved but not at the cost of continuous deterioration of our river ecosystem. The aim should be to optimize the present human uses and to preserve the river as a living system not only for its inherent ecological value but to satisfy future human needs on a sustainable basis. Surely both the river as well as the communities can be saved in this way?

There is a lot of active ongoing research on global climate change. The drastic modification of our river systems has received far less attention. This research attempts to redress the balance by assessing a controlled river in its pre-dam and post-dam periods, reviewing the positive and negative impacts of control structures, reviewing the socio-economic significance of such control measures and human perception and adaptability within the riverbed, and identifying policy options to minimize the negative effects while maximizing the positive ones. This case study of a flood-prone Indian river can be used as a model for planning and managing this and other rivers of similar nature in India and elsewhere. This study may also be used as a good example of how the harnessing of a river and excessive human interference with natural systems alters its fluvial regime. Further, this research would provide an in-depth case study of floods and modification of the hydrological cycle due to human interference, and human adjustment with floods and dams. Therefore, the outcome of the study may be used as a knowledge base for students, researchers, river experts and planners of river valley projects. “We should promote scientific analysis of human impacts on river system and collaborative science-based approaches to river conservation and management. Collaboration is central to ecosystem management,” Mike J. Wiley, Roosevelt Professor of Ecosystem Management from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, said. He added “I have always found that people really do care and want to manage their water resources more effectively. The difficult part is developing effective lines of communication”.

As a researcher, I have assembled extensive data from multiple sources over a period of time and presented it without bias so that this information may be used to make more intelligent decisions in assessing the balance between the benefits and unfavorable downstream effects of dams. Admittedly, the work of a single

researcher cannot provide the more complete impact analysis that would be possible through an inter-disciplinary approach taken by a team. Nevertheless, an attempt has been made in this book to focus on that effort by presenting a thorough, data-driven review and analysis of the human-made downstream environment of a controlled tropical river, the Damodar, from an applied geomorphological perspective within a wider geographical framework. The book addresses a topic that is gaining in importance and will remain relevant in the foreseeable future. I hope it will make a valuable contribution to research on human environment interactions.



# Acknowledgments

This book contains the results of 7-year PhD research project conducted by me under the proficient supervision of Manjusri Basu (Ex-Reader), Department of Geography, The University of Burdwan, India. I hereby express my heartiest sense of gratitude to Ms. M Basu for proving direction, continuous constructive criticism, encouragement and editorial assistance during my research period. This time-bound research project has been funded by The University of Burdwan and due to personal interest the research was carried on, based upon field surveys, over extensive areas for a long period. The author thanks The University of Burdwan and University of California, Berkeley, CA for their financial support for this research. I am grateful to PK Sen (Late Professor, Department of Geography, The University of Burdwan), Late Professor A Biswas, Dr. VC Jha (Department of Geography, Vishva Bharati University), for their valuable suggestions. I would like to thank Mr. D Bandyopadhyay, the then Minister for Agriculture, Government of West Bengal for permitting me to get access of rare and valuable data and Government documents.

I acknowledge with deep appreciation to M Gordon (Reds) Wolman (Late Professor, Johns Hopkins University, USA), for providing valuable suggestions, encouragement and editorial insight. Thanks also to Dr. GM Kondolf (University of California, Berkeley, CA), Dr. R Stallard (USGS) and Dr. KA Howard (USGS) for their inputs into the development of this report. Personal communication with them through letters, e-mails and meetings over the years renewed my enthusiasm. I am thankful to Dr. MJ Wiley (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), Dr. Ashok K Dutt (The University of Akron, Akron, OH) and Dr. Bimal K Paul (Kansas State University, Kansas, KS), for providing me “Forewords” and commenting on some of the chapters. Their comments and editorial insights brought about significant improvement in the final drafting of the chapters. MJ Wiley made many exceptionally helpful suggestions and editorial assistance for my concluding chapter.

I have had useful discussions with Dr. D Dasgupta (Ex-Vice Chancellor, Kalyani University), Dr. R Basu, Dr. S Bhaduri and Dr. S Bandopadhyay (University of Calcutta), Dr. A Sarkar (Presidency College, Calcutta), Mr. C Ray (Ex-Chief Engineer, I & WD, Govt. of West Bengal), MK Bharati (Executive Engineer, I & WD, Govt. of West Bengal), SK Roy, D Ghosh (Senior Divisional Engineers, DVC Kolkata) B Goswami (Executive Engineer, Manager Reservoir Operation

(MRO) office, DVC, Maithon), D Chowdrury (Senior Divisional Engineer, DVC, Hazaribagh), and S Vaddey (Hydraulic Engineer, US Army Corps of Engineers, Portland, OR). I am thankful to them for their valuable ideas.

I wish to acknowledge DK Mukhopadhyay (Ex-General Manager, DVC Calcutta), KK Chakraborty (Ex- MRO, DVC Maithon), AK Jha (Ex-Executive Engineer, DVC, Maithon), A Das (Statistician, DVC, Maithon), RN Roy, CB Burma (Research Associate, DVC, Maithon), KP Singh, S Roy, R Gupta, A Das (IT specialists, DVC Maithon), A Prasad (Deputy Director, CWC, Maithon), S Samanta, (Damodar Irrigation Circle, I & WD, Govt. West Bengal), AK Pal (Damodar Canals No. II subdivision, Rhondia), P Ghosh (Executive Engineer, Lower Damodar Construction, I & WD, Uluberia). Dr. S Sudhakar, (Scientist, Regional Remote Sensing Service Centre, Indian Space Research Organization) and his team for making available part of the data used in the study. I owe my deep sense of gratitude to A Mohanti, J Chatterjee (RR & RD, Bankura), B Pal (RR & RD, Bishnupur), BLRO of Sonamukhi, Patrasair, Barjora, Mejia, Galsi, Barddhaman, and all other police stations under my studied sections, who have provided valuable data and layout plans for generating land use maps.

I have presented papers in different national and international seminars. I have been benefited by constructive criticism of my papers and valuable suggestions provided by Dr. C Deshpande (India), Dr. RK Rai (India), Dr. D Goswami (India), Dr. VS Kale (India), Dr. A Gupta (Singapore), Dr. D Brunnsden (UK), Dr. I Douglas (UK), Dr. CR Thorne (UK), and Dr. HTh Verstappen (Netherlands).

I also thank B Bhattacharyya (NATMO, India), S Bhattacharyya (GSI, India), B Karmakar (India), J Franczyk (USA), S Dutta (suvro.com) for providing cartographic help. I am also indebted to G Mukherjee (India), M Shillong (USA) and specially Dr. S Dutta (USA) for continuous help during the final preparation of this manuscript. Dr. S Dutta deserves sincere thanks for her continuous editorial help. Dr. I Mukherjee, A Roy (The University of Burdwan), D Ghosh (DVC), Dr. A Majumdar, and Dr. PK Roy (Jadavpur University) provided help in statistical analysis.

I have received much needed support and inspiration from G Guha, G Das, S Mukherjee, R Mukherjee, DP Pahari of Cartographic Laboratory, Department of Geography, The University of Burdwan. I must thank the librarians of The University of Burdwan, National Library, Geological Survey of India, DVC library, British Council, and American Center library (Calcutta). I am grateful to Linda Vida, Director, Water Resources Center Archive, University of California, Berkeley and librarians of Federal Way Regional Library of Washington State, and University of Michigan for their assistance.

The project has been benefitted from the efforts of numerous field assistants over the years. Among them I would like to mention Samapti, Ravneet, Samir, Parthasarathi, Mahim, Bileswar, Upasana, Sisir, Tarun and specially Labanya, Durba, and Janmejoy without whose assistance my field survey could hardly be completed. Labanya and Durba deserve special words of appreciation as these two sisters accompanied me everywhere during my field survey and data collection.

I acknowledge with deep appreciation to Nagen Tarafdar, Bolai Paik, Naren Das, Binod Das, Gopal Sarma, Premananda Sikdar, Prakash Biswas, Dinesh Sarkar, Sidheswar Sarkar for their selfless help during my visit in their sandbars. It would be a long list if I have to acknowledge within this short campus all those villagers who became my friends during my field survey at various stages.

Thanks to my husband Raj Swamy for helping me with the compilation of bibliography, a difficult task to do and for supporting me in every step. Lastly all the members of my family especially my sweet mother had been a constant source of help and encouragement. I am also thankful to my sisters, Rina and Purabi who sponsored my several field trips. Many people have helped me, knowingly or inadvertently. They also deserve great thanks.

Finally and most importantly, I wish to thank to Springer and our entire publishing team, without whose patience, immense competence and support this book would not have come to the present form. I would specially like to thank the energetic and dynamic Dr. RK Doe, who wrote “We wish you every success in the further development of your work and look forward to seeing your book in its finished form”. I am also thankful to scholars Dr. C Witschel, Editorial Director, series editor Prof. Dr. Marcus Nüsser and two anonymous referees for their helpful comments, constructive criticism and suggestions on a first draft. Additional thanks go to talented and supportive Nina Bennink, Hermine Vloemans, Corina van der Giessen from Springer and Indumadhi Srinivasan along with her team at Integra Software Services, Puducherry for their endless patience and kindness.

I would like to thank all these people for their generosity.

Ann Arbor, MI

Kumkum Bhattacharyya



# Conversion Factors

This book uses metric units of measure. The table below is provided for those who require English units.

To convert from English unit	To metric unit	Multiply English unit by	To convert to English from metric multiply metric unit by
inches (in)	millimeters (mm)	25.4	0.03937
inches (in)	centimeters (cm)	2.54	0.3937
feet (ft)	meters (m)	0.3048	3.2808
yards (yd)	meters (m)	0.9144	1.094
miles (mi)	kilometers (km)	1.6093	0.62139
square feet (ft <sup>2</sup> )	square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )	0.092903	10.764
square miles (mi <sup>2</sup> )	square kilometers (km <sup>2</sup> )	2.59	0.3861
cubic feet (ft <sup>3</sup> )	cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.028317	35.315
cubic yards (yd <sup>3</sup> )	cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	0.76455	1.308
cubic feet per second (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	cubic meters per second (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	0.028317	35.315
feet per second (ft/s)	meters per second (m/s)	0.3048	3.2808
feet (ft)	meters (m)	0.3048	3.2808
degree Fahrenheit (°F)	degree Celsius (°C)	(°F-32)/1.8	(1.8 × °C) + 32



# Abbreviations

BLRO	Block Level and Revenue Office
CBIP	Central Broad of Irrigation and Power
CPCB	Central Pollution Control Board
cumec, m <sup>3</sup> /s	Cubic meter per second
CWC	Central Water Commission
DVC	Damodar Valley Corporation
EL	Elevation
GSI	Geological Survey, India
ha	Hectare
ICAR	Indian Council of Agricultural Research
ICOLD	International Commission on Large Dams
IRS	Indian Remote Sensing
I&W Dept	Irrigation and Waterways Department
km, Km <sup>2</sup>	Kilometer, sq kilometer
m	Meter
M.cu.m	Million cubic meters
mm	Millimeter
MRO	Manager Reservoir Operation
N.A.	Not Available
NATMO	National Atlas and Thematic Mapping Organization
NRSA	National Remote Sensing Agency
RBO	River Basin Organization
RR&RD	Refugee Relief & Rehabilitation Department
SOI	Survey of India
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNICEF	United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund
WB	West Bengal
WCD	World Commission on Dams





# Contents

<b>1 Purpose and Perspectives</b> . . . . .	1
1.1 Purpose . . . . .	1
1.2 Perspectives . . . . .	16
References . . . . .	17
<b>2 Introduction</b> . . . . .	23
2.1 Geography of the Damodar River . . . . .	23
2.2 Geological Set Up . . . . .	25
2.3 Physiographic Divisions . . . . .	25
2.4 Soil . . . . .	26
2.5 Factors Behind Selection of the Lower Damodar as a Specific Studied Section . . . . .	26
2.6 Locational Reference of the Study Area . . . . .	29
2.6.1 Problem of Area Demarcation . . . . .	30
2.7 Review of Studies on the Damodar River . . . . .	31
2.8 Conceptual Background . . . . .	34
2.8.1 Controlled River . . . . .	34
2.8.2 Geomorphic Space and Landscape . . . . .	35
2.8.3 Geomorphic Environment . . . . .	35
2.8.4 Empiricism . . . . .	36
2.8.5 Environmental Determinism and Possibilism . . . . .	37
2.8.6 Culture . . . . .	37
2.8.7 Perception . . . . .	38
2.8.8 Social Space . . . . .	39
2.8.9 Land, Land Resources and Land Use . . . . .	40
2.8.10 Hazard . . . . .	41
2.8.11 Human Ecology . . . . .	43
2.8.12 Refugee . . . . .	44
2.9 Objectives . . . . .	45
2.10 Research Paradigm . . . . .	46
2.11 Applied Geomorphological and Human-Environment Issues . . . . .	48
2.12 Models and Methods . . . . .	49
2.13 Spatial Scale and Time Scale . . . . .	50

- 2.14 Technique and Tools . . . . . 50
  - 2.14.1 Perception Survey . . . . . 51
  - 2.14.2 Tools Used . . . . . 51
  - 2.14.3 Detailed Mapping for 5 Years (1993–1997)
    - and Updated During 2000 and 2001, 2007 and 2008 . . . 51
- 2.15 Selection of Variables and Indicators . . . . . 52
- 2.16 Data Base and Data Constraint . . . . . 53
- 2.17 Chapter Organization . . . . . 53
- 2.18 Working Steps . . . . . 53
- References . . . . . 54

**3 Flood and Water Resource Management in the Controlled Tropical River Damodar . . . . . 63**

- 3.1 Tropicality of the Lower Damodar Environment . . . . . 63
- 3.2 Background of the Population Group . . . . . 67
- 3.3 The Damodar – A Flood-Prone River . . . . . 67
- 3.4 Changing Courses of the Lower Damodar . . . . . 69
- 3.5 Flood History of the Lower Damodar River . . . . . 70
- 3.6 Policy Recommendation by National Commission
  - on Floods . . . . . 73
- 3.7 Phases of Controlling the Lower Damodar . . . . . 73
  - 3.7.1 Embankments . . . . . 74
- 3.8 Weirs, Sluices and Canals . . . . . 79
- 3.9 Post-British Period . . . . . 80
  - 3.9.1 Damodar Flood Enquiry of 1944: Conception
    - of the DVC . . . . . 81
- 3.10 Post-Independence Period . . . . . 82
  - 3.10.1 Lower Damodar Scheme . . . . . 85
- 3.11 Impacts of Lateral Control Structures . . . . . 86
- 3.12 Impacts of Embankments . . . . . 87
  - 3.12.1 Rising Riverbed . . . . . 87
  - 3.12.2 Changes in Soil Composition in the Adjacent
    - Riparian Tracts . . . . . 88
- 3.13 Consequences of the Removal of the Right Bank Embankment . . . 88
  - 3.13.1 Changes in Fertility Status on the Right Bank . . . . . 89
  - 3.13.2 Changing Cross Profile . . . . . 89
  - 3.13.3 An Increase in Cross Section . . . . . 89
  - 3.13.4 Opening Up of Hana or Spill Channels
    - on the Right Bank . . . . . 89
- 3.14 Impact of the Left Bank Embankment . . . . . 94
  - 3.14.1 Drainage Congestion . . . . . 94
  - 3.14.2 Reversal of Slope . . . . . 94
- 3.15 Summary . . . . . 97
- References . . . . . 99

**4 The Reservoired Lower Damodar River:**

**A Hydro-Geomorphic Perspective . . . . . 103**

4.1 Damodar: A “Reservoir Channel” . . . . . 103

4.2 Impacts of Dams: Changing Flow Regime . . . . . 104

    4.2.1 Daily, Monthly Mean, and Annual Flow  
            Characteristics . . . . . 104

    4.2.2 Changes in Peak Flow Characteristics . . . . . 110

    4.2.3 Modification of Hydrographs in the Post-dam Period . . 113

    4.2.4 Flood Hydrology . . . . . 113

4.3 Changing Flood Behavior in the Lower Part of the Lower  
    Damodar River . . . . . 119

4.4 Relationship Between Discharge and Rainfall in Pre-dam  
    and Post-dam Periods . . . . . 121

4.5 Changes in Suspended Sediment Concentration . . . . . 124

4.6 Silting of the Reservoirs . . . . . 128

    4.6.1 The Construction of an Upstream Dam . . . . . 130

4.7 Changing Channel Morphology . . . . . 130

    4.7.1 Increases in the Sinuosity Index . . . . . 131

    4.7.2 Planform Configuration . . . . . 133

    4.7.3 Changes in Riverbed Slope . . . . . 135

4.8 Stabilization of Bars . . . . . 136

4.9 An Example of the Rate of Changes to the Channel Sandbars . . 137

4.10 Shifting Bank Lines and Bank Erosion . . . . . 138

4.11 Present Condition of the Jamalpur Regulator . . . . . 138

4.12 Present Condition of Jujuti Sluice and Eden Canal . . . . . 138

4.13 Present Condition of the Ulughata Sluice . . . . . 139

4.14 Summary . . . . . 140

References . . . . . 141

**5 Colonization Processes on the Lower Damodar Riverbed . . . . . 147**

5.1 History of Colonization . . . . . 147

5.2 Background of Refugee Influx . . . . . 148

5.3 Phases of Colonization in the Lower Damodar Riverbed . . . . . 149

    5.3.1 The First Phase of Colonization . . . . . 149

    5.3.2 The Second Phase of Colonization . . . . . 151

    5.3.3 The Third Phase of Colonization . . . . . 152

    5.3.4 The Fourth Phase of Colonization . . . . . 152

5.4 Summary . . . . . 153

References . . . . . 153

**6 The Controlled Lower Damodar River: A Social Perspective . . . . . 155**

6.1 Understanding Human Role in Changing Riverine Environment . 156

6.2 Contemporary Riverbed Characteristics Between  
    the Maithon Panchet Reservoirs and Barsul-Chanchai . . . . . 159

6.3 Land Use Planning in the Riverbed: Maithon Panchet  
    Reservoirs to Barsul Chanchai . . . . . 160

6.3.1	Gangtikali . . . . .	160
6.3.2	Vivekanandapalli Squatters' Colony . . . . .	162
6.3.3	Damodar Char Mohana . . . . .	165
6.3.4	Ramakrishna Palli, Pallishri and Sitarampur Mana . . . . .	167
6.3.5	Bara Mana . . . . .	171
6.3.6	Rangamatia-Kenety Mana . . . . .	187
6.3.7	Fatehpur and Kasba Mana . . . . .	191
6.3.8	The Stretch Between Chhita Mana and Laksmipur Colony . . . . .	195
6.3.9	Majher Mana . . . . .	197
6.3.10	Satyanandapur–Kalimohanpur Sandbar . . . . .	198
6.3.11	Gaitanpur . . . . .	199
6.3.12	Fakirpur Sandbar . . . . .	202
6.3.13	Shrirampur to Chaitpur . . . . .	203
6.3.14	Chaitpur to Kalinagar . . . . .	203
6.4	Human Perception, Adaptability and Resource Evaluation in the Riverbed . . . . .	205
6.5	Disaster Reduction Measures and Survival Strategies . . . . .	209
6.6	Flood Zoning in the Charlands/Sandbars . . . . .	210
6.7	Contemporary Riverbed Characteristics: Barsul Chanchai to Paikpara Settlement . . . . .	212
6.8	Land Use Planning: Barsul Chanchai to Paikpara Settlement . . . . .	214
6.9	Changing Resource Status from Flow Resource to Fund Resource . . . . .	218
6.10	River Bed Characteristics: The Amta Channel . . . . .	218
6.11	General Land Use in the Amta Channel . . . . .	219
6.11.1	Bahir Aima . . . . .	223
6.12	Summary of Land Use in the Amta Channel . . . . .	223
	References . . . . .	224
<b>7</b>	<b>The Controlled Lower Damodar River: A Product of Hydro-Geomorph and Anthropogenic Processes . . . . .</b>	<b>227</b>
7.1	The Lower Damodar, a Product of Human Activity . . . . .	227
7.2	Forms, Processes, and Materials in Controlled Section Are Quasi-Natural in Character . . . . .	228
7.3	Concept of Culture in Resource Appraisal . . . . .	229
7.4	Significance of Social Space in Colonization Processes and Land Use Practices . . . . .	229
7.5	Strong Linkages Between Changing Geomorphic Space and Perceived Environment . . . . .	231
7.6	Public Policy and Land Ownership Rights . . . . .	232
7.7	A Modified Concept of Human Ecology Helps to Explain Land Use . . . . .	233

7.8	Human-Environment Interactions Depending on Personal Experience . . . . .	234
7.9	The Reservoired Lower Damodar, a Product of Hydro-Geomorphic and Anthropogenic Processes . . . . .	235
	References . . . . .	235
<b>8</b>	<b>Towards Better Human–Environment Interactions . . . . .</b>	<b>239</b>
8.1	Discussions and Suggestions . . . . .	239
8.2	The Need for Integrating Watershed Science and Management . . . . .	246
	References . . . . .	247
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>List of Reference Maps . . . . .</b>	<b>253</b>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>Hydrological Observation . . . . .</b>	<b>257</b>
<b>Appendix C</b>	<b>Streamflow of the Lower Damodar River at Rhondia (Monthly and Average Annual Streamflow Total in m<sup>3</sup>/s) . . . . .</b>	<b>259</b>
<b>Appendix D</b>	<b>Streamflow of the Lower Damodar River at Damodar Bridge Site . . . . .</b>	<b>263</b>
<b>Appendix E</b>	<b>Inflow into Durgapur Barrage and Canal Consumption (M.Cu.m) . . . . .</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>Appendix F</b>	<b>Peak Flow of the Lower Damodar River at Raniganj and at Rhondia (from 1933) during Pre-dam and Post-dam Periods . . . . .</b>	<b>267</b>
<b>Appendix G</b>	<b>Combined Moderation by Maithon and Panchet Dams of the Damodar River . . . . .</b>	<b>271</b>
<b>Appendix H</b>	<b>Model Questionnaire for Perception Survey . . . . .</b>	<b>273</b>
	<b>Personal Communication . . . . .</b>	<b>275</b>
	<b>Color Plates . . . . .</b>	<b>277</b>
	<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>295</b>